

# **AGENDA**

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**March 2-3, 2005  
Sacramento, California**

---

### **Wednesday, March 2, 2005**

**9:15 a.m.** California Transportation Foundation's 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Transportation Forum  
Reservations Required: call 916-453-1767 or email [ctffoundation@aol.com](mailto:ctffoundation@aol.com)

Sacramento Convention Center, Room 202  
13<sup>th</sup> and K Streets  
Sacramento, California

**6:30 p.m.** Commissioner's Dinner

Lucca Restaurant  
1615 J Street  
Sacramento, California

### **Thursday, March 3, 2005**

**9:00 a.m.** Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)

Department of Water Resources  
Auditorium, First Floor  
1416 9<sup>th</sup> Street  
Sacramento, California

#### **CLOSED SESSION PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:**

The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation:

*Flying J, Inc. v. California Transportation Commission, et al.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 253208 SPC.

**NOTE: The Closed Session will be scheduled in conjunction with the lunch break. Following the lunch break the Commission will reconvene in Open Session.**

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website:  
[www.catc.ca.gov](http://www.catc.ca.gov)

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Carol Peterson at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

**NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):**

**CTC Committee Meetings – To Be Determined**

**CTC Commission Meeting – April 13-14, 2005, Stockton**

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

**FREQUENTLY USED TERMS:** California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

### **GENERAL BUSINESS**

- |  |     |   |   |
|--|-----|---|---|
|  | 1.  |   |   |
| • Roll Call  | 1.1 | I | C |
| • Approval of Minutes for January 20, 2005 Meeting   | 1.2 | A | C |
| • Commission Reports                                 | 1.3 | A | C |
| -- Appointment of Committee Chairs and Members       |     |   |   |
| • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation           | 1.4 | A | C |
| • Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary | 1.5 | I | B |

### **CALTRANS REPORT**

- |   |     |   |   |
|---|-----|---|---|
| • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director | 1.6 | I | D |
|---|-----|---|---|

### **REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT**

- |   |     |   |   |
|---|-----|---|---|
| • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator     | 1.7 | I | R |
| • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair | 1.8 | I | R |

### **INFORMATION CALENDAR**

- |                    |    |   |   |
|--------------------|----|---|---|
|                    | IC | I | C |
| • To Be Determined |    |   |   |

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

CC

A

C

- To Be Determined

**PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS**

2.

**Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP  
Amendments for Action**

2.1a.

- STIP Amendment 04S-017

2.1a.(1)

A

D

The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. BCAG proposes to delete \$442,000 in RIP Construction funds from FY 2005-06 on the Skyway/Wagstaff Signalization project (PPNO 2L120) and program \$263,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2005-06 for a new project, the Route 99/162 Signalization project (PPNO 2420). The remaining \$179,000 will be returned to Butte County's unprogrammed share balance.

- STIP Amendment 04S-018

2.1a.(2)

A

D

The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. **RCTC proposes to transfer \$1,050,000 of the \$5,665,000 in IIP funds from Construction Support to Construction in FY 2004-05 for the Route 60 Highway Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0033) in Riverside County.** RCTC is **also** requesting the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement. ~~for the Route 60 Highway Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0033) in Riverside County.~~ RCTC will use local Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) funds to replace ~~\$13,046,000~~ **\$8,881,000** (~~\$9,785,000~~ **\$5,421,000** in IIP and ~~\$3,261,000~~ **\$3,460,000** in RIP funds) programmed in FY 2004-05. RCTC will program ~~\$13,046,000~~ **\$8,881,000** in FY 2007-08 for a replacement project, (PPNO 0116C), to be identified at a later date. *(Related items under 2.1c.(1) and 2.1c.(4).)*

	Item Description	Ref. #		Status*
	<b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u></b>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-019 The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) is requesting this amendment. SBCAG proposes to reprogram a total of \$2,766,000 in RIP funds from seven local road projects to two existing projects: \$2,742,000 to the Santa Maria Six-Lane project (PPNO 4460) in FY 2005-06 for Construction, and \$24,000 to SBCAG's Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 1834) in FY 2007-08. To accomplish this, SBCAG proposes to: transfer a total of \$1,367,000 in FY 2005-06 from four local road projects (PPNOs 1194, 1194C, 1197 and 1202); move \$859,000 in FY 2005-06 from PPNO 1194B and \$310,000 in FY 2004-05 from PPNO 0223G; and move \$230,000 of RIP and RIP TE funds in FY 2005-06 from the Coast Route Bike Path Improvement project (PPNO 0223E) and program \$206,000 to the Santa Maria Six-Lane project and \$24,000 to SBCAG's TE Reserve. The Construction and Construction Support programmed on Santa Maria Six-Lane project are in FY 2004-05. The funding for this project did not meet the allocation criteria established and adopted at the January 2005 Commission meeting, therefore the funding will be moved to FY 2005-06. The affected local agencies are in agreement with SBCAG's proposal. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(1)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-020 The City of Portola and Plumas County are requesting this amendment. The City of Portola and Plumas County propose to shift \$1,138,000 of RIP Construction funds programmed on the A-15 Reconstruction project (PPNO 2371) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2007-08 and to reprogram \$30,000 of R/W in FY 2005-06 to PA&amp;ED in FY 2005-06. In addition, Plumas County also proposes to make minor programming and schedule changes to ten other existing local projects. These changes result in a net decrease of \$2,000 of RIP funds in FY 2007-08 to be returned to the county share.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(2)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-021 The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA), City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), and the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) are requesting this amendment. CCTA, C/CAG, and VTA propose to shift a total of \$3,531,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) programming in FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08 on four projects (PPNOs 2011K, 2025C, 2140C and 2255B).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(3)	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-022</li> </ul> <p>The City of Portola and Plumas County Transportation Commission (PCTC) are requesting this amendment. PCTC proposes to transfer a total of \$474,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds programmed under the TE Reserve (PPNO 3209) to a new Portola Pedestrian Improvement project (PPNO 2410) as follows: \$30,000 in FY 2005-06 for PS&amp;E and \$444,000 in FY 2006-07 for Construction.</p>	2.1b.(4)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-023</li> </ul> <p>The Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) is requesting this amendment. VCTC proposes to shift \$4,778,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2008-09 from the Route 101 Improvements project (PPNO 2291) to the Lewis Road Widening project (PPNO 7100) to accommodate a cost increase. The Lewis Road Widening project is currently programmed with \$18,222,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2008-09; an additional \$4,778,000 is needed for construction cost increases. VCTC is also requesting the Commission approve an AB 3090 Reimbursement for the Lewis Road Widening project. VCTC will use local bond funds to award the contract in FY 2004-05 and program \$23,000,000 in RIP funds for cash reimbursement (PPNO 3626) in FY 2008-09.</p>	2.1b.(5)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-025</li> </ul> <p>The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) is requesting this amendment. SFCTA proposes to move \$1,251,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2007S) funds programmed in FY 2005-06 to four new eligible projects as follows: 1) \$368,000 in FY 2004-05 for the Bicycle Shared Lane Pavement Stencils project (PPNO 2007T); 2) \$283,000 in FY 2004-05 for the Merchant Road Improvement project (PPNO 2007U); 3) \$400,000 in FY 2004-05 for the Downtown Ferry Terminal Public Pier project (PPNO 2007V); and 4) \$200,000 in FY 2004-05 for the San Francisco Bikestation – 4<sup>th</sup> and King Caltrain Station project (PPNO 2007W).</p>	2.1b.(6)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-026</li> </ul> <p>The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to reprogram from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06 \$49,839,000 in RIP funds and \$9,434,000 in IIP funds for various components on 37 projects not included in the priority categories list adopted at the January 2005 Commission meeting.</p>	2.1b.(7)	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u></b>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approval. One application to program \$21,000,000 in TCR funding for Phase 4 - Construction. Resolution TA-05-01</li> </ul> <p>-- Project #63 – \$21,000,000 for Phase 4, for Route 60; add seven miles of HOV lanes west of Riverside, Route 15 to Valley Way in Riverside County. Also update application to indicate the Riverside County Transportation Commission as applicant agency. Applicant Agency: <del>Department</del> <b>Riverside County Transportation Commission</b> Implementing Agency: Department (Related items under 2.1a.(2) and 2.1c.(4).)</p>	2.1c.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Two application amendments, no net change in TCR funding, per Resolution G-03-14, Traffic Congestion Relief Program Policy for Managing Cash Between TCR Projects. Resolution TAA-05-02, Amending Resolution TAA-02-06 and TAA-04-09</li> </ul> <p>-- Project #50 – Route 71; complete three miles of six-lane freeway through Ponomo, from Route 10 to Route 60 in Los Angeles County. The Department requests amendment to update project schedule previously approved under Resolution TAA-04-09. (Related item under 2.6e.)</p> <p>-- Project #41.1 – Route 5; add HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway through San Fernando Valley, Route 170 (Hollywood Freeway) to Route 14 (Antelope Valley Freeway) in Los Angeles County. The Department requests amendment to update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-02-06. (Related item under 2.6e.)</p>	2.1c.(2)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (Letter) Approvals. One Letter Request for \$21,000,000. Resolution TL-05-01</li> </ul> <p>-- Project #63 – \$21,000,000 for Phase 4, for Route 60; add seven mile of HOV lanes west of Riverside, Route 15 to Valley Way in Riverside County. Applicant Agency: Riverside County Transportation Commission (Related items under 2.1a.(2) and 2.1c.(1).)</p>	2.1c.(4)	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments</u></b>	2.1d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval Amendment for the Napa County Transportation Planning Agency. Request to transfer eligible applicant status from the City of Napa to the Napa County Transportation Planning Agency and program an additional \$2,128 in Proposition 116 funds to the Napa Bus Yard Expansion project. (<i>Concurrent Allocation under 2.6b.</i>) Resolution PA-05-01, Amending Resolution PA-00-25</li> </ul>	2.1d.		A D
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1e.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters</u></b>	2.2		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.2a.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR) – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.2b.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></b>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 5 in Tehama County – Truck climbing lane near Red Bluff (ND). Resolution E-05-03</li> </ul>	2.2c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – 5900 Folsom Boulevard in Sacramento County – Building safety corrections in Sacramento (ND). Resolution E-05-04</li> </ul>	2.2c.(2)		A D
	<b><u>Highway Route Matters</u></b>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Route Adoptions – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New Public Road Connections – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.3b.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Relinquishment Resolutions	2.3c.		
	-- One Relinquishment Resolution 7-LA-105-PM R14.7/R15.2 Route 105 in the City of Paramount Resolution R-3604	2.3c.(1)	A	D
	-- One Relinquishment Resolution 3-Sac-275-KP 0.11/1.13 Route 275 in the City of Sacramento Resolution R-3601	2.3c.(2)	A	D
	• One Vacation Resolution 03-Sac-5 PM 23.5 Route 5 in the City of Sacramento Resolution A-858	2.3d.	A	D
	<b><u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u></b>	2.4		
	• Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.4a.		
	• 15 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18996 through C-19010	2.4b.	A	D
	• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.4c.		
	• Director's Deeds	2.4d.		
	-- Director's Deeds, Items #1 through #8 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$396,750 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(1)	A	D
	-- Director's Deeds, Items #1 through #6 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$719,570 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(2)	A	D
	-- Director's Deeds, Item #1 through #2 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$442,500 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(3)	A	D
	-- Director's Deeds, Item #1 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$6,895,000 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(4)	A	D



	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

**Highway Financial Matters**

\$ 52,085,000 Total Funds Requested for Allocation  
 \$ 5,961,000 Contributions from Other Sources  
 \$ 58,046,000 Grand Total

**Financial Allocations for Minor Projects**

2.5a

- Financial Allocation for 26 Minor Projects totaling \$14,055,000 plus \$500,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-31

2.5a.(1)

A D

- Financial Allocation for Minor Projects not proposed for allocation at this time – **No Items This Month**

2.5a.(2)

- Financial Allocation for Six Minor SHOPP Safety Projects totaling \$2,920,000 plus \$100,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-32

2.5a.(3)

A D

**Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects**

2.5b.

- Financial Allocation for Four SHOPP Projects totaling \$10,827,000. Resolution FP-04-33

2.5b.(1)

A D

- Financial Allocation for Three SHOPP Projects for \$10,332,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action. Resolution FP-04-34

2.5b.(2)

A D

- Financial Allocation for SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Projects – **No Items This Month**

2.5b.(3)

**Financial Allocations/Amendments for STIP Projects**

2.5c.

- Financial Allocation for One State Administered STIP Project on the State Highway System for \$900,000. Resolution FP-04-35

2.5c.(1)

A D

- Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System – **No Items This Month**

2.5c.(2)

- Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$547,000 plus \$5,078,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-36

2.5c.(3)

A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One State Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$675,000. Resolution FP-04-39</li> </ul>	2.5c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$2,518,000 plus \$283,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-37</li> </ul>	2.5c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for 28 Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects totaling \$8,511,000. Resolution FP-04-38</li> </ul>	2.5c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Locally Administered Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(7)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>STIP Project Advancements – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(8)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One project totaling \$800,000. Resolution FA-04-04</li> </ul> <p>-- 02-Tri-L5733 – SHOPP project in Trinity County. Current allocation is \$3,572,500. This request for \$800,000 to close out the construction contract results in an increase of 42% over the voted amount.</p>	2.5e.		A D
	<b><u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u></b>	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Emergency G-11 Allocations. 14 projects totaling \$8,187,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations. One project for \$117,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(2)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on SHOPP Safety G-03-10 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(3)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Adjustment of \$360,723,000 in Federal Funds for FY 2004-05 Local Assistance Lump Sum Allocation Resolution FM-04-05</li> </ul>	2.5g.		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

- |   |       |   |   |
|---|-------|---|---|
| • Budget Revision to Transfer Authority within the STIP<br>Budget Act Item in FY 2004-05<br>Resolution FM-04-04 | 2.5h. | A | D |
|---|-------|---|---|

**Mass Transportation Financial Matters**

\$	0	Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)
\$	10,254,000	Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP) Funds Requested for Allocation
\$	155,215	Total Proposition 116 Program Funds
\$	10,409,215	Grand Total State Allocation Funds Requested for Allocation

**Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit** 2.6a.

- |  |          |   |   |
|--|----------|---|---|
| • Financial Allocation for Local Rail/Transit STIP projects<br>– <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>                           | 2.6a.(1) |   |   |
| • Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail STIP projects totaling \$10,254,000.<br>Resolution MFP-04-01                   | 2.6a.(2) | A | D |
| • Financial Allocation for Two Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program projects totaling \$155,215.<br>Resolution BFP-04-03 | 2.6b.    | A | D |
| • Financial Allocation Amendment for Proposition 116 Program – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>                             | 2.6c.    |   |   |
| • Financial Allocation Amendment for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <b><u>No Items this Month</u></b>                       | 2.6d.    |   |   |

**Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations / Allocation Amendments** 2.6e.

- |  |       |   |     |
|--|-------|---|-----|
| • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment Approval. Two allocation amendment requests, no net change in TCR funding, per Resolution G-03-14, Traffic Congestion Relief Program Policy for Managing Cash Between TCR Projects.<br>Resolution TFP-05-02 | 2.6e. | A | D/C |
|--|-------|---|-----|

**Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month** 2.7

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u></b>	2.8		
	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8a.		
	• Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for One SHOPP Project in Del Norte County for \$3,600,000. Waiver-05-03	2.8b.	A	D
	<b>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion</b>	2.8c.		
	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Intercity Rail STIP Project for \$132,000. Waiver-05-01	2.8c.(1)	A	D
	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Local Road STIP Project for \$770,000. Waiver-05-04	2.8c.(2)	A	D
	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure Deadline for One STIP Project in the County of Santa Barbara for \$1,200,000. Waiver-05-05	2.8d.	A	D
	• Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8e.		
	<b><u>Technical Corrections to Previously Approved Resolutions</u></b>	2.9		
	• Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-13, originally approved October 28, 2004 in the amount of \$661,000 for Three Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects. A technical correction is needed to clarify the project recipient for Project #1 (PPNO 3036A) as Lake County and to clarify allocation component as PA&ED.	2.9a.	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technical Correction to Delegated Allocation #2001-581 approved June 11, 2001, for \$35,000 for PA&amp;ED, and Resolution FP-02-80, approved May 22, 2003, for \$85,000, for the Amesti Road Reconstruction Project (PPNO 05-2054). Correction is needed to modify the project funding from State/federal to State Only and to clarify allocation component under Resolution FP-02-80 as PA&amp;ED.</li> </ul>	2.9b.		A D
	<b><u>PROGRAM STATUS</u></b>	3.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Caltrans Monthly FY 2004-05 Finance Report and Revised Cash Flow Report</li> </ul>	3.1		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.2		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action</li> </ul>	3.3		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.4		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service</li> </ul>	3.5		I C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status Report on Previously Approved GARVEE Projects Not Yet Awarded</li> </ul>	3.6		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Status of Delivery of AB 3090 STIP Project Components</li> </ul>	3.7		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FY 2004-05 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Project Delivery Report</li> </ul>	3.8		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FY 2004-05 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Rail Operations Report</li> </ul>	3.9		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Assistance Quarterly AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ, and Regional TEA Balances</li> </ul>	3.10		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Assistance FY 2004-05 Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report</li> </ul>	3.11		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review and Comment on Caltrans 2005 Ten-Year State Highway Operation and Protection Plan</li> </ul>	3.12		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2004 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Report on Seismic Safety Retrofit Program</li> </ul>	3.13		I D
	<b><u>POLICY MATTERS</u></b>	4.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State and Federal Legislative Matters *</li> </ul> <p>*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.</p>	4.1		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Annual Review of the Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) Grants</li> </ul>	4.2		I D
	<b><u>List of Pending Allocations/Amendments/LONPs</u></b>	4.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Letters of No Prejudice (Letter) Requests, per Resolution G-03-12. Eight Letter requests totaling \$595,425,000.</li> </ul>	4.3a.		I D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations – 18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200.</li> </ul>	4.3b.		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Department's Five Year Capital Facilities Plan</li> </ul>	4.4		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Overview of 2006 Fund Estimate Development</li> </ul>	4.5		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2004 Excellence in Transportation Facilities Awards</li> </ul>	4.6		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transportation Performance Measures</li> </ul>	4.7		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Status Report on Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program</li> </ul>	4.8		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>MODAL ISSUES</u></b>	5.		
	<b><u>Rail</u></b>	5.1		
	• Annual Review of Draft Strategic Business Plans for Intercity Rail Corridors.	5.1a.		
	-- Capital Corridor	5.1a.(1)	I	R
	-- Pacific Surfliner	5.1a.(2)	I	D
	-- San Joaquin	5.1a.(3)	I	D
	<b><u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u></b>	6.		